

Hamburg, June 8, 2014 Educational Course "The Art and Pitfalls of fMRI Preprocessing"



Data-driven Structured Noise Removal (FIX)

Ludovica Griffanti

FMRIB Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom





- Noise sources in fMRI data
- Cleaning approaches for fMRI data
- ICA decomposition for structured noise removal
 - Independent Component Analysis (ICA)
 - Characteristics of "good" and "bad" components: hand labelling
- An automated ICA-based cleaning approach: FMRIB's ICA-based Xnoiseifier (FIX)
 - FIX cleaning approach
 - Validations/applications

Noise sources in fMRI data

- Head motion
- Cardiac pulse
- Respiration
- Susceptibility
- Hardware

How noise affects fMRI data analysis?

- <u>Task-based fMRI (GLM-based analysis)</u>: *a-priori* hypothesis of the signal of interest. If noise is correlated with the task-related activity it can produce false activations/deactivations/etc.
- <u>Resting state fMRI</u>: NO *a-priori* hypothesis about the signal of interest: any correlation with noise will produce false positives

Cleaning approaches for fMRI data

Band-pass temporal filtering

the removal of high frequencies may remove signal that contributes to the resting state networks (Niazy et al., 2011)

• Regression of motion parameters

often not capable of completely remove the effect of motion

Alternatives:

- spike removal ("scrubbing") (Power et al., 2012)
- Higher number of motion parameters (Satterthwaite et al., 2013)

• Regression of global (mean) signal

the removal of global signal introduces spurious anti-correlations that are difficult to interpret (Murphy et al., 2009)

Alternatives:

• regression of mean WM signal and mean CSF signal (Weissenbacher et al., 2009)

Cleaning approaches for fMRI data

• Physiological recordings (RETROICOR - Glover et al.,

2000; Shmueli et al., 2007; regression of RVT - Birn et al., 2006)

need external physiological recordings

• Multi-echo EPI sequences (Bright and Murphy 2013; Kundu et al., 2012)

need of specific acquisition sequence

• Independent Component Analysis (ICA)

- Data-driven
- No need for external recordings or specific sequence
- Able to identify and remove different sources of noise
- the components need to be classified

ICA decomposition for structured noise removal

Independent Component Analysis (ICA)

 Data-driven multivariate analysis: Decomposes data into a set of distinct spatial maps each with its own distinct timecourse



 SPATIAL ICA for fMRI: data is represented as a 2D matrix and decomposed into a set of spatially independent maps and a set of time-courses

What are components? (what does ICA output?)







 \approx





X





G (green)







+

+







How to use ICA to identify noise and clean the data?

- I. Standard preprocessing: rigid-body head motion correction, drift removal (high-pass temporal filtering), (optional) spatial smoothing
- 2. Single-subject ICA to decompose the preprocessed data into a set of independent components.
- 3. Identification of noisy components: independent components (ICs) classification
- 4. Removal of the contribution of those components from the preprocessed data

Currently available ICA-based cleaning methods

- Identification of task-related components (Thomas et al., 2002)
- Analysis of the Fourier decomposition of time series (Kochiyama et al., 2005)
- Match with spatial patterns of physiological noise (Perlbarg et al., 2007)

• Analysis of spatiotemporal features (Tohka et al., 2008; De Martino et al., 2007)

Characteristics of "good" (i.e. predominantly signal) and "bad" (i.e. predominantly noise) components: hand labelling of the ICs

Examples of good components: DMN



Visualisation tool: Melview (David Flitney)







🏠 🔾 😜 🕂 🚅 😓 🖌 🚍



Examples of bad components: motion-related



White Matter



Susceptibility-motion



physiological artefacts 1/3



physiological artefacts 2/3



physiological artefacts 3/3



MRI acquisition/reconstruction artefacts 1/2



MRI acquisition/reconstruction artefacts 2/2



Examples of "Unknown" components 1/2



Examples of "Unknown" components 2/2

How to evaluate a component?

By looking at:

- Thresholded spatial map (usually abs(Z)=2.3)
- Temporal power spectrum
- Time series
- (If needed: unthresholded spatial maps)
- ... BUT hand labelling
 - is time consuming
 - relies on the operator's expertise

An automated ICA-based cleaning approach: FMRIB's ICA-based Xnoiseifier (FIX)

Reza Salimi, Ludo Griffanti, Steve Smith et al., FMRIB, Oxford

Salimi-Khorshidi et al. NeuroImage 2014

Griffanti et al. NeuroImage 2014

FIX cleaning approach

- I. Standard preprocessing: rigid-body head motion correction, drift removal (high-pass temporal filtering), (optional) spatial smoothing
- 2. Single-subject ICA decomposition with automatic dimensionality estimation (using MELODIC, part of FSL)
- 3. Components' features extraction
- 4. Automatic classification of components
 - Classifier training and evaluation of accuracy
- 5. Noise removal (regress bad ICA time courses & 24 motion parameters out of preprocessed data)

3. Features extraction

• <u>Hand-labelling</u>: operator's **qualitative evaluation** of the characteristics of spatial maps, temporal power spectra and time courses

 <u>FIX</u>: calculation of about 180 spatial and temporal quantitative measures (features) for each component

Examples of spatial features

Spatial features' subclass	Signal characteristic	Noise characteristic
Clusters' size and spatial distribution	Low number of large clusters	High number of small clusters
Voxels overlaying bright/dark raw data voxels	More overlap with GM intensity	Overlap with e.g. blood vessels
Percent of (i.e. overlap with) brain boundary	Low overlap	High overlap
Masked-based features	Overlap with GM mask	Overlap with WM, CSF, vessels masks
Other spatial features		

Examples of temporal features

Temporal features' subclass	Signal characteristic	Noise characteristic		
Jump (i.e. sudden changes) amplitudes in the time series	Fairly smooth time series	Large jump		
Autoregressive properties (temporal smoothness)	High temporal autocorrelation	Low temporal autocorrelation		
Distributional properties of the time series	Fairly normal	Bimodal or long- tailed		
Distribution of power in frequency domain (Fourier transform)	Low frequency	High frequency		
Temporal correlation with reference time series	More GM correlated	More WM, CSF, motion correlated		

4. ICs' classification

 <u>Hand-labelling</u>: human classification in good vs bad components with multiple if-then rules

- <u>FIX</u>: hierarchical classifier (hierarchical fusion of k-NN, support vector machine, decision trees)
- Need of a training dataset to inform the classifier
 - Training datasets available with the tool
 - Study specific training datasets recommended

Classifier training and evaluation of accuracy

- Hand labelling of at least 10 (the more the better) subjects
- Classifier training
- Leave-One-Out (LOO) testing: to allow evaluating accuracy (TPR = % of Good components correctly classified;TNR = % of Bad components correctly classified)
- Threshold choice: to control balance between high-TPR vs high-TNR; e.g., for conservative cleanup, set threshold low (high TPR)

Example of FIX Classification Accuracy Output

threshold			2	5	10	20	30	40	50
Mixed datasets, 61 subjects	TPR	98.7	98.4	98.4	96.4	94	92.5	90.9	89.9
	TNR	51.4	65.4	68.1	75.1	83.6	88.5	91.5	93
Whitehall 2, No MB, 25 subjects 3x3x3mm, 3s, 10mins, hp=100s Median across subjects, thresh=5: (100,99.3)		97.8	97.8	97.8	96.3	94.6	93	92	90.8
		91.9	91.9	92.2	94.7	96.1	97.3	97.3	97.6
Whitehall 2, MB6, 25 subjects 2x2x2mm, 1.3s, 10mins, hp=100s		98.6	98.5	98.2	98.1	96.2	96.2	96.2	96.2
		95	95.1	97.7	98.2	98.6	98.9	98.9	99
HCP Phase 2, MB8, 25 subjects 2x2x2mm, 0.7s, 4x15mins, hp=2000s Median across subjects, thresh=5: (100,99.3)	99.7	99.6	99.3	99.1	99	98.5	97.7	6.7	
	96.7	97.2	99	99.3	99.4	99.5	99.6	99.7	

Example of FIX classification output

filtered_func_data.ica 1, Unknown, False Unknown, False Unclassified Noise, True 13, Signal, False 14, Unclassified Noise, True 15, Signal, False Unclassified Noise, True 17, Unclassified Noise, True 18, Signal, False 19, Unclassified Noise, True 20, Unclassified Noise, True 21, Unclassified Noise, True 22, Signal, False 23, Unclassified Noise, True 24, Signal, False 25, Signal, False 26, Unclassified Noise, True 27, Signal, False 28, Unknown, False 29, Unclassified Noise, True 30, Unclassified Noise, True 31, Unclassified Noise, True 32, Signal, False 33, Unclassified Noise, True 34, Unclassified Noise, True 35, Unclassified Noise, True 36, Unclassified Noise, True 37, Signal, False 38, Signal, False 39, Unclassified Noise, True 40, Unclassified Noise, True 41, Unknown, False 42, Signal, False 43, Unclassified Noise, True 44, Signal, False Unknown Fals [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 23, 26,

29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 39, 40, 43]

- A report of FIX classification is created for each subject
- Only bad components will be removed from the data
- Unknown components will be kept: conservative approach

5. Noise removal

 regression of the contribution of 24 motion parameters:

Satterthwaite et al. NeuroImage 2013

- 3 rotation + 3 translation
- temporal derivatives of the previous 6
- squares of the previous 12
- regression of the contribution of the noise components identified by the classifier

How to regress out noise components?

 AGGRESSIVE approach: regression of the full space of all the noise components (ICA bad) and the motion confounds out of the 4D preprocessed data:

Not taking into account of possible shared variance between the good and the bad components

• <u>SOFT approach:</u>

I) regression of the full space of the motion confounds from both the data and from all the ICA component timeseries:

2) estimation of the contribution of both good and bad components in order to identify the noise specific variance:

3) removal of the unique contribution of the bad components from the data:

...mathematically

 AGGRESSIVE approach: regression of the full space of all the noise components and the motion confounds (C) out of the 4D pre-processed data (Y):

$$Y_{clean} = Y - C \cdot (pinv(C) \cdot Y)$$
 (C=[C_{motion} ICA(bad)])

• SOFT approach:

1) regression of the full space of the motion confounds (C_{motion}) from both the data (Y) and from all the ICA component timeseries (ICA) :

 $Y_m = Y - C_{motion} \cdot (pinv(C_{motion}) \cdot Y)$ $ICA_m = ICA - C_{motion} \cdot (pinv(C_{motion}) \cdot ICA)$

2) estimation of the contribution of both good and bad components in order to identify the noise specific variance:

$$\beta_{ICA} = pinv(ICA_m) \cdot Y_m$$

3) removal of the unique contribution of the bad components from the data:

$$Y_{clean} = Y_m - (ICA_m(bad) \cdot \beta_{ICA} (bad))$$

FIX tool validations/applications

Effectiveness of the cleaning procedure

Griffanti et al., 2014

Similar results for the two approaches - "soft" is more conservative

Smith et al., 2013

temporal power spectra

Comparisons with other methods: motion artefact reduction

FIX provides the strongest reduction in volume-to-volume variance of signal intensity

Bijsterbosch et al., OHBM 2013

Comparisons with other methods: discrimination power

FIX allowed to detect the typical DMN alteration (decreased functional connectivity in the posterior cingulate cortex) in patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease (n=20) with respect to a group of elderly healthy subjects (n=21)

Griffanti et al., ISMRM 2014

Conclusions

- fMRI data are affected by several sources of noise and an effective cleaning approach is needed especially for resting-state fMRI
- With FIX we are able to remove artefacts automatically and with confidence that we are not removing significant amount of non-artefact signal
- FIX tool is publicly available and different training dataset are provided, however the accuracy of the cleaning procedure benefits from study-specific training datasets
- Effective cleaning is already achieved by removing the unique variance of artefacts. A more aggressive denoising can be performed by removing the full variance of the artefacts, obtaining similar results, but at expense of potential signal loss.

Thank you

Ludovica Griffanti <u>ludovica.griffanti@ndcn.ox.ac.uk</u>

http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FIX

University of Oxford

Stephen M. Smith Gholamreza Salimi-Khorshidi Gwenaëlle Douaud Matthew F. Glasser Claire E. Sexton Enikő Zsoldos Klaus P. Ebmeier Nicola Filippini Clare E. Mackay Karla L. Miller Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University, Nijmegen Christian F. Beckmann

Politecnico di Milano

Giuseppe Baselli

Center for Magnetic Resonance Research, University of Minnesota Medical School

Edward J. Auerbach Steen Moeller Junqian Xu Essa Yacoub Kamil Ugurbil